

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,209	04/02/2004	Michel Rynderman	A20030010(2)	2395	
26643 PETER J. GORDON, PATENT COUNSEL AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC.				EXAMINER COLORS	
ONE PARK W	/EST		ART UNIT	GRANT II, JEROME ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
TEWKSBURY	r, MA 01876		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/817,209	RYNDERMAN, MICHEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jerome Grant II	2625		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by the common of the common o
Status
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
v ⊠ v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/DE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

 Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/817,209

Art Unit: 2625

Detailed Action

112 2nd Para. Rejection

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.In claims 1-3, references is made to "data" in claim 1, line 7, however, it is not clear if reference is being made to the data stored on a computer readable medium or if the data is referred to image data. Correction is required.

112 1st Para. Rejection

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Corrections are required.

Claims 1-11 and 20 refer to macroblocks for a plurality of lines followed by padding.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,209

Art Unit: 2625

The specification does not provide an enabling disclosure for this teaching. In the specification at page 5, second paragraph, it states that multiple lines are divided into a macroblock. However, it is not clearly taught specifically how the division is to take place,. Is the division by an integer or a fraction? It is not clear if the band is divided into a number of macroblocks or are all of the bands of a single image stored in a macroblock?

The specification refers to "padding" as a process for terminating data on a boundary.

But what is the boundary? Is it a boundary of the band, of a specific line in the band, or is it in the macroblock? It is not clear what is meant by boundary since it could have several interpretations.

Claims 12-19 and 22 refer to "macroblocks including a plurality of macroblocks..." This is confusing for the reason the speciation, at page 5, second para. Lines 5-6 the set of macroblocks that define a band is called herein a macroblock rasterscan. There does not appear to be a teaching for what is claimed.

Claims 1-22 either refer to a band of lines followed by padding or macroblocks followed by padding, however, the specification seems to support that padding occurs after a coding result of the rasterized macroblock. It appears that the claim is reciting the limitation which is not in support for that provided in the specification.

Art Unit: 2625

A general search for art has been conducted however, the examiner is of the opinion that such an application against the claims, would be a premature judgment for or against patentability for the reason of a lack of a clear description of the invention and claims that have an interpretation in light of the specification to be extremely broad.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,209

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is 571-272-7463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jerome Grant II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625